

Stu Tordeman

3-25-94

Composite Group

P.O. Box 9999

Van Nuys, CA 91409

Greetings,

Input on motion #4 CAR '94 follows, thank you for the opportunity to provide constructive criticism. The following points are the result of less than complete process of gaining conscience from region on CAR.

The following have been generally agreed upon:

1. Results of committee SAT's can be best utilized by those committees, attempting objective conclusions from subjective input will be an exercise in futility at best.
 2. Current Composite Group membership may not be objective in evaluating results from SAT's they designed, new membership is required.
 3. Current members of Composite should use SAT's for self evaluation.
 4. WSO inventory should be the beginning of five year plan. We endorse rapid completion of WSO inventory, which they have estimated to need 40 hours of office time, including designing follow up tools and identification of potential solutions to problems.
 5. Suggest considering oversight by BOT rather than Interim Committee.
 6. In addition to CY 1998-99 as quality assurance check, continuation of inventory taking be a standard of each committee.
 - other- (7. It is not appropriate for Composite to take it upon itself to review A Guide to Service, a period of review and input by the fellowship is the only acceptable literature approval process for this document.)
- In summation, motion#4 as it appears in CAR '94 will not be likely to receive our support.

RSR_Rio Grande Region

